Model construction for field operation machinery selection and configuration in wheat-maize double cropping system
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Abstract: In order to scientifically and reasonably select the field operation machinery in the wheat-maize double cropping system, first, the selection evaluation index system was constructed through the existing national standards and industry standards. Then the selection evaluation model was established based on the improved fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method. And the method of subjective weight and objective weight was used to overcome the drawbacks of the previous single weighting method that could not take into account the subject and object information of each indicator, and the weight value of each index was obtained in the evaluation system. Finally, the tillage process was used as an example, the field experiment was carried out to obtain the evaluation index value, and the model of selection evaluation was verified. The selection results of moldboard plough and rotary cultivator were as follows: the order of the comprehensive evaluation results of the moldboard plough results was ranked from high to low as 1LFK-435 (II M), 1LFK-535 (I M), 1LF-342 (III M), 1LFT-445 (IV M), 1LFT-545 (V M), and the best machine type of the moldboard plough was II M; the order of the comprehensive evaluation results of the rotary cultivator was ranked from high to low as 1GQKGN-240 (III R), 1GKNSM-250 (IV R), 1GKN-250K (VM), and the optimal model of the rotary cultivator was III R. The experimental results showed that the results obtained by the evaluation model were in agreement with the local actual situation. The evaluation model will provide a scientific method for the selection of wheat and maize double cropping field operation machinery.
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1 Introduction

With the rapid development of agricultural machinery and the layout of agricultural modernization, agricultural machinery in recent years has a huge share, and the types of agricultural machinery on the market are complex and diverse⁴⁻⁵. In order to ensure the quality of agricultural machinery products, according to the relevant laws and regulations of China, agricultural machinery needs to be tested by relevant departments before listing. However, due to the lack of scientific and reasonable guidelines for the selection of agricultural machinery by users in the production area, there are common problems such as unreasonable selection, excess function, low utilization, high cost, waste of resources, etc.⁶⁻⁸. Based on this, scholars have launched relevant research and achieved fruitful results⁹⁻¹⁶. As an example, Yang et al.¹⁷ combined with the characteristics of agricultural requirements of self-propelled micro-rotary cultivator and constructed the self-propelled micro-rotary cultivator comprehensive evaluation model and, based on the fuzzy mathematics comprehensive evaluation theory, the collective experience judgment method was adopted, weights were assigned to various factors, and the selection and quality evaluation were completed. Gong et al.¹⁸ aimed at the comprehensive evaluation of the application of plant protection machinery, the plant protection machinery evaluation system was constructed. The applicability evaluation for plant protection machinery was completed, with the analytic hierarchy process in terms of technical indicators, economic indicators, and operating conditions. Zhang et al.¹⁹ developed a type of Tobacco planting machinery, they took the ridging link raising process as an example, adopted the analytic hierarchy process, and combined the expert scoring method and the empirical method to construct the judgment matrix of indicators based on adopting. The weight set and the final selection evaluation result of the ridge raising machinery were obtained. Fu et al.²⁰ studied the selection of rice combine harvesters and used projection pursuit and genetic algorithm combined modeling, which overcame the influence of human subjective factors in the selection of agricultural machinery. Based on the experts’ personal experience, weighting evaluation indicators such as operating cost, harvest loss rate, breakage rate, and reliability. Kang et al.²¹ used the second-class indefinite comprehensive judgment for the rice harvester was selected and
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evaluated. When studying the selection and evaluation of traditional combine harvesters, Wang et al.[22] introduced the gray correlation method and constructed a data column of the necessity and safety evaluation index of the standby model to obtain the gray correlation degree, and then determined that it is suitable for the best model in the region. In the process of selecting biogas engineering technologies in the Wuhan area, the weight assignment process of the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method was improved by Xiang et al.[23], and finally, the optimal sequencing of biogas engineering technologies in this area was obtained.

In the past, the single weighting method was used to assign weights to the evaluation indexes on the selection of agricultural machinery, but the combination of subjective and objective weighting methods was rarely mentioned. Therefore, in order to conduct more scientific, reasonable, and comprehensive research on the selection and evaluation of agricultural machinery, this study was based on the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method to establish a selection evaluation model for the field preparation link of wheat and maize field operation machinery, and clarify the evaluation at all levels. The index factor overcame the disadvantages of the previous single weighting method that cannot simultaneously take into account the index weight to the subject and object information. The fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method not only made the evaluation object hierarchical, but also reflected the ambiguity and uncertainty of the evaluation standard. The combined weights were obtained by using the AHP method and the entropy weight method, and the model with the highest evaluation was obtained through the weighted comprehensive average algorithm. The AHP was used to solve complex multi-objective problems, it can complete qualitative and quantitative decision analysis. The entropy weight method can effectively reflect the information implicit in the data, and enhance the difference and discrimination of indicators. Finally, the farmland link was taken as an example, the evaluation model was verified through experiments, which can be used for the evaluation of agricultural machinery selection and configuration. Theoretical research provides new methods and new ideas.

2 Construction of an evaluation system for field machinery

The southern area of Huang-Huai-Hai, represented by Henan Province, is mainly made of wheat and maize double-cropping products. Field research was carried out on the large seed industry bases, national demonstration bases and agricultural machinery cooperatives, which are representative of the central, eastern, western and northern Henan Province and have large scale and complete equipment for field operation machinery. The planting period of winter wheat is generally in early October, while the planting period of summer maize is in late June. Combining the actual process index system and production operation links of the mechanized production of wheat and maize double-cropping products, it is concluded that the planting process of wheat and maize double-cropping products involves a total of 7 operations, which are respectively tillage and land preparation, wheat sowing, field management, and harvesting, maize planting, field management, and harvesting. The specific operation flow chart is shown in Figure 1.

3 Establishment of the model of field machinery selection evaluation

3.1 Model of field machinery selection evaluation

The selection of agricultural machinery has the characteristics of complexity, uncertainty, and randomness, it has a clear connotation but it has also fuzzy extension. It is difficult to describe the problem with an absolute precise mathematical model. Therefore, this study established the evaluation model of field operation machinery selection based on the fuzzy mathematics theory, the difficult problems were quantified according to the membership function theory, and the qualitative evaluation was transformed the quantitative evaluation. The model of field machinery selection and evaluation is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1  Flow chart of wheat and maize double cropping field operation

Figure 2  Model of field machinery selection evaluation

1) Setting of two finite fields
Based on the fuzzy mathematics theory and the actual situation, the study analyzed the influencing factors, the evaluation system of the agricultural machinery in the cultivated land link was established, and the factor set and the evaluation set were established.

\[ U = \{ u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \} \quad (n=1,2,3,\ldots) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ V = \{ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \} \quad (m=1,2,3,\ldots) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, \( U \) and \( V \) are the factor set and the evaluation set, respectively.

2) Establishment of membership function
The membership function \( F = F(U) \) from \( U \) to \( V \) was established. Let the i-th factor in factor set \( U \) be \( u_i (i=1, 2, \ldots, n) \), then \( R = \{ r_{ij}, r_{j1}, r_{j2}, \ldots, r_{jm} \} \) \((i=1, 2, 3, \ldots, n; j=1, 2, \ldots)\) it was the set of eigenvalues of the evaluation set, and then the fuzzy matrix \( R \) can be obtained.

\[ R = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1m} \\
r_{21} & 1 & \cdots & r_{2m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
r_{n1} & r_{n2} & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
3) Determination of the membership matrix

Due to the different dimensions of each factor, the evaluation results in the membership matrix \( R \) should be normalized according to Equation (4).

\[
r_i^0 = \frac{r_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j}
\]

Thus, the normalized data \( R^0 \) can be obtained,

\[
R^0 = \begin{bmatrix}
R_1^0 & R_2^0 & \cdots & R_m^0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

4) Comprehensive evaluation

The weighted average model was adopted to obtain the comprehensive evaluation index value. Supposing the weight of \( U \) was \( w_i \) \((i=1, \ldots, n)\), then the weight vector of factor set was \( A = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n] \).

The comprehensive evaluation matrix \( B \) of each index can be obtained by the following equation:

\[
B = A \cdot R^0 = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m]
\]

where, \( b_i \) \((i=1, 2, \ldots, m)\) represents the degree of membership of each evaluated model. According to the degree of membership of the model, the priority of machine selection can be determined by arranging in order.

3.2 Principles of evaluation indicator setting

Since there are many evaluation indicators involving evaluation objects, and they are used as an important basis for constructing membership functions in fuzzy comprehensive judgment and in the process of determining weights, the selection principle of evaluation indicators will affect the scientificity, rationality and accuracy of the comprehensive evaluation results which should follow the following principles[24,25].

1) The system principle. From the perspective of the system, the influence factors of each system are considered comprehensively for the evaluation object, so the selection evaluation system is reflected comprehensively and objectively.

2) Practical principles. The selected evaluation indexes can truly reflect the characteristics of the evaluation objects, and factors such as whether the index data of each operation link in the field can be easily obtained and whether the index quantization is operable.

3) Scientific principles. The evaluation object should be analyzed scientifically, and the evaluation indexes are constructed in combination with its main characteristics. The calculation methods and steps of each indicator must be evidence-based, scientific and reasonable.

4) The principle of regional adaptability. Since the agricultural planting patterns and planting agronomic standards are different in different regions, the evaluation indicators must have universal applicability and truly reflect the characteristics of the evaluation area.

5) Principle of combining quantitative and qualitative methods. In order to avoid the existence of indicators that are difficult to identify, the method of combining qualitative indicators obtained according to relevant evaluation criteria with quantitative indicators supported by objective data is selected.

3.3 Classification of evaluation index

In this study, the complex relationship of evaluation factors, as well as the availability of index data and the operability of the methods, were fully considered according to the unique attributes of each link operating machine. Referring to relevant research results, this study mainly selected three secondary indexes, namely economic cost, operation performance and use effect, which were closely related to the selection of agricultural machinery. On the basis of in-depth analysis of secondary indexes, the evaluation index system of twenty-seven tertiary indexes was constructed. The details are listed in Table 1. The operational performance indexes were mainly derived from the relevant national standards, industry standards and appraisal programs, which have been issued and implemented. The indexes of economic cost and use effect were mainly determined by literature review, field research and expert consultation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index system of the whole cycle working machine and tools for wheat-maize double cropping in field</th>
<th>First class</th>
<th>Second class</th>
<th>Third class</th>
<th>Explanation of index</th>
<th>Range of value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity costs</td>
<td>Annual fixed fee ( A_{c-1} )</td>
<td>The operating machines and tools used in the whole cycle of the field account for the fixed cost of the whole year, RMB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit fuel consumption ( A_{c-2} )</td>
<td>The hourly fuel consumption of power machinery when the machinery in a certain link is in normal operation, L/h</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation performances</td>
<td>Coefficient of variation of tillage depth ( B_{e-1} )</td>
<td>Variance of plowing depth in the measured area, %</td>
<td>≤10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetation coverage under the surface ( B_{e-2} )</td>
<td>Vegetation and stubble mass share per unit volume of surface 8 cm, %</td>
<td>≥85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetation coverage under 8 cm ( B_{e-3} )</td>
<td>Vegetation per unit volume and mass share of stubble under 8 cm, %</td>
<td>≥60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil pulverization rate of moldboard plough ( B_{e-4} )</td>
<td>The ratio of the mass of soil blocks with a diameter less than 5 cm per unit volume to the total mass of crushed soil, %</td>
<td>≥65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tillage depth of rotary cultivator ( B_{e-5} )</td>
<td>The vertical distance from the ploughed surface to the lowest running point of the rotary knife, cm</td>
<td>≥8cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stability coefficient of tillage depth ( B_{e-6} )</td>
<td>Standard deviation of rotary tillage depth in the measured area, %</td>
<td>≥85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary tiller vegetation coverage ( B_{e-7} )</td>
<td>Select three points in the survey area, take out the vegetation in the range of 1 m×1 m, and calculate the vegetation coverage, %</td>
<td>≥60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil pulverization rate of rotary cultivator ( B_{e-8} )</td>
<td>Percentage of the total mass of soil blocks with a unit volume diameter less than 4cm in the plough layer, %</td>
<td>≥60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seed breakage rate of wheat ( B_{e-9} )</td>
<td>The proportion of the damaged seeds collected in the seed metering device to the amount of seed discharged, %</td>
<td>≤0.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sow uniform variability ( B_{e-10} )</td>
<td>The degree of uniformity of seed distribution in the seed ditch during sowing, %</td>
<td>≤45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualification rate of wheat seeding depth ( B_{e-11} )</td>
<td>At the measuring point, the soil layer was cut vertically, and the thickness of the topmost seed was measured as a percentage of the total, %</td>
<td>≥75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Determination method of the weight of evaluation index

In order to avoid the neglect of the indicator weights in the past and the single weighting method that cannot take into account each disadvantage of indicator host and guest information, the method of combining subjective and objective to obtain the optimal combination weight to determine the weight of each index in the evaluation system is adopted in this study.

4.1 Determination method of subjective weight

The subjective weight adopted the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) to confirm the weight of the evaluation index. The evaluation index was divided into three levels, then, the comparative judgment matrix of two indicators was constructed according to the 1-9 scale method and scored by experts. After determining the relative importance of the indicators, the weight vector was calculated for the consistency test, and the weights of all levels of technical indicators in the wheat and maize double cropping system were obtained.

The weight determination process is shown in Figure 3.

1) Construction of judgment matrix

Let \( A \) represent the goal, \( u_i, u_j \) \((i,j=1, 2, \ldots, n)\) represent the factors. \( u_i \) represents the relative importance of \( u_i \) to \( u_j \), and the judgment matrix \( P \) is obtained.

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix}
    u_{11} & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1n} \\
    u_{21} & u_{22} & \cdots & u_{2n} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    u_{n1} & u_{n2} & \cdots & u_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

2) Determination of relative importance of indicators

According to the following equation of the judgment matrix, the eigenvector \( w \) corresponding to the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) can be calculated. Finally, after normalization treatment, the order of importance of each evaluation factor can be obtained, namely weight allocation.

\[
P w = \lambda_{\text{max}} w
\]

(8)

3) Consistency check

The consistency of the obtained weight distribution was checked, it was given by Equation (9).

\[
C_r = \frac{C_i}{R_i}
\]

(9)

where, \( C_r \) is the random consistency ratio; \( C_i \) is the general consistency index. It was given by the following equation:

\[
C_i = \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}} - n}{n - 1}
\]

(10)

\( R_i \) is a random consistency index. In general, when \( C_r < 0.1 \) or \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = n \) and \( C_i = 0 \) of the judgment matrix \( P \), \( P \) was considered to have satisfactory consistency; otherwise, elements in \( P \) need to be checked.
adjusted. $R_i$ values of the judgment matrix of order 1-9 are listed in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order-number $n$</th>
<th>RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Determination method of objective weight

Entropy is a measure of the uncertainty of a random variable, and as a function to describe the state of a material system, the greater the entropy is, the smaller the degree of variation of a certain attribute of the system, and the smaller the information contained. Conversely, the greater the degree of variation is, the smaller the entropy, and the larger the information. The calculation steps are as follows:

1) Construction of the original matrix of evaluation target indicators

Assuming that there are $n$ evaluation indexes and $m$ evaluation objects in the evaluation index system, and denoting them as $C=\{C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_n\}$, $S=\{S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_m\}$, the index matrix of the original evaluation object is expressed as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & \cdots & b_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

2) Calculation of specific gravity $P_g$

$$P_g = \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

3) Determination of the entropy

$$h_j = -(\ln n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i, \ 0 \leq h_j \leq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

4) Determination of the degree of variation coefficient

$$a_j = 1 - h_j, j=1,2,\ldots,n$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

5) Calculation of the objective weight

$$W_{cj} = \frac{a_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j}, j=1,2,\ldots,n$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

4.3 Optimal weighting combination

After obtaining the subjective weight vector $W_{ci}=(W_{c1}, W_{c2}, \ldots, W_{cn})$ ($c=1,2,3,\ldots$) determined by the analytic hierarchy process, and the objective weight vector $W_{cij}=(W_{c1j}, W_{c2j}, \ldots, W_{cnj})$ ($k=1,2,3,\ldots$) were determined by the entropy weight method, the weight of the evaluation index was determined by the following equation:

$$W_i = \frac{W_{ci}W_{cij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ci}W_{cij}}, j=1,2,\ldots,n$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The weight vector is $W=(W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n)$.

5 Construction of membership function

5.1 Membership function of moldboard plough

1) Annual fixed fee

Since the market price of machines and tools was completely operated in accordance with the market behavior, the price is not capped in this study, but the cost was more than 0 million, therefore, the membership function of the annual fixed fee can be expressed by the following equation:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ e^{-x}, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

2) Unit fuel consumption

Since the diesel engine has a diesel density of 0.83-0.85 kg/L and a calorific value of 33,000,000 J/kg, according to the energy utilization rate of 25%-35%, the fuel consumption of the tractor at full load can be calculated as 5-58 L. Therefore, the fuel consumption membership function can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 5 \\ \frac{58-x}{53}, & 5 < x \leq 58 \\ 0, & x > 58 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

3) Depth stability

The national standard (GB/T14225-2008) stipulates that the coefficient of variation of stability of the plowshare depth should not be more than 10%. Therefore, the subordinate function of the coefficient of variation of stability of the plowshare depth can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0.1-x, & 0 \leq x \leq 0.1 \\ 0, & x > 0.1 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

4) Vegetation coverage rate of 8 cm below the surface

According to Reference [26], the subsurface vegetation coverage rate is greater than or equal to 85%. Therefore, the subsurface vegetation coverage rate of 8 cm can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0.85 \\ 0.15, & 0.85 < x \leq 1 \\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

5) Coverage rate of vegetation below 8 cm depth

According to the national standard of share plough (GB/T14225-2008), the vegetation coverage under a depth of 8 cm is greater than or equal to 60%. Therefore, the vegetation coverage rate under a depth of 8 cm could be represented by the following equation:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0.6 \\ 0.4, & 0.6 < x \leq 1 \\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

6) Broken soil rate

According to the industry standard of operating quality of share plough (NY/T742-2003), it is greater than or equal to 65%. Therefore, the membership function of soil fragmentation rate can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0.65 \\ 0.4, & 0.65 < x \leq 1 \\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

7) Validity

According to the promotion and appraisal outline of moldboard agricultural Machinery (DG/T087-2019), the validity is greater than or equal to 98%, so the validity membership function can be expressed by the following equation:
5.2 Rotary cultivator membership function

1) Annual fixed fee
The membership function of the annual fixed fee of the rotary cultivator can also be expressed by Equation (17).

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq 8 \\
\frac{x - 8}{22}, & 8 < x \leq 30 \\
1, & x > 30 
\end{cases} \]  
(25)

2) Unit fuel consumption
The membership function of unit fuel consumption of rotary cultivator can also be expressed by Equation (18).

3) Tillage depth of rotary cultivator
According to the national standard of rotary cultivator (GB/T5668-2017)[29], the tillage depth of the rotary cultivator should not be less than 8 cm, and the maximum is not more than 30 cm. Therefore, the membership function of the tillage depth can be expressed as follows:

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq 8 \\
\frac{x - 8}{22}, & 8 < x \leq 30 \\
1, & x > 30 
\end{cases} \]  
(25)

4) Rotary cultivator depth stability
According to the national standard of rotary cultivator (GB/T5668-2017)[29], the qualified rate of rotary cultivator’s tillage depth should not be less than 85%. Therefore, the membership function of the rotary cultivator’s tillage stability can be expressed as follows:

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq 0.85 \\
\frac{0.85 - x}{0.15}, & 0.85 < x \leq 1 \\
1, & x > 1 
\end{cases} \]  
(26)

5) Broken soil rate of rotary cultivator
According to the national standard of rotary cultivator (GB/T5668-2017)[29], the soil crushing rate of rotary cultivator after the operation cannot be less than 60%. Therefore, the subjection function of soil crushing rate of rotary cultivator can be expressed as follows:

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq 0.6 \\
\frac{x - 0.6}{0.4}, & 0.6 < x \leq 1 \\
1, & x > 1 
\end{cases} \]  
(27)

6) Rotary cultivator vegetation coverage
According to the national standard of rotary cultivators (GB/T5668-2017)[29], the vegetation coverage of rotary cultivators is greater than or equal to 60%. Therefore, the vegetation coverage membership function can be expressed as follows:

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \leq 0.6 \\
\frac{x - 0.6}{0.4}, & 0.6 < x \leq 1 \\
1, & x > 1 
\end{cases} \]  
(28)

7) Validity
The membership function of the effectiveness of the rotary cultivator can also be expressed by Equation (23).

8) Product satisfaction
The membership function of product satisfaction of the rotary cultivator can also be expressed by Equation (24).

6 Verification of the selection and evaluation model

6.1 Test conditions
The experiment was carried out in 2017-2018 at Pinang Seed Industry Base in Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, China (112°51'39"E-113°13'20"E, 34°52'00"N-35°2'48"N). The region has a warm temperate continental monsoon climate, with an average annual temperature of 14.3°C, annual precipitation of 552.4 mm, and a frost-free period of 219 d, the soil type is loam. Generally, in the process of plowing and soil preparation, in order to ensure the quality of sowing soil and prevent large soil blocks from appearing after plowing operations, continuous plowing operations and rotation operations were often used. Generally, in the link of plowing and soil preparation, in order to ensure the quality of sowing soil and prevent large soil blocks after plowing operations, continuous plowing operations and rotation operations were often used, and five moldboard ploughs 1LFK-535 (IM), 1LFK-435 (IIIM), 1LF-342 (IVM), 1LFT-445 (IVM), 1LFT-545 (VM) and five rotary cultivators 1GQKGN-230K (I), 1GKN-250K (II), 1GQKN-240 (II), 1GKNSN-250 (IV), 1GQKGN-220 (V) were used for the experiment, respectively. The parameters and basics of the test machines are listed in Table 3. The testing process of field operation machinery was as follows. Firstly, the parameters such as annual fixed cost, number of operators and cost of operating units were collected, and the unit fuel consumption and effective operating time were recorded during operation. Secondly, during the test, the candidate models were numbered I-V, and the soil physical information of the test plot was collected. Moldboard plough test environment is that of previous crop maize, vegetation density of 374 g/m², soil of loam, water content of 13.1%, firmness of 793 kPa. Rotary cultivator test environment, the soil type was loam, the water content was 15.4%, the firmness was 463 kPa, the vegetation coverage before cultivated land was 146 g/m², and the test data of land preparation link are listed in Table 4.

6.2 Evaluation results and analysis
According to the established evaluation model, the individual indexes and weights of the alternative models of moldboard plough and rotary cultivator in Table 5 were normalized, and finally, the weighted comprehensive average algorithm was adopted. Finally, the index data and its corresponding combined weight value were multiplied by Equation (6), the comprehensive evaluation result of the moldboard plow and rotary cultivator were obtained, moldboard plow and rotary cultivator were used in the field link of wheat and maize double crop production. The evaluation results are shown in Figure 4. From the point of comprehensive evaluation results, moldboard plough machine I-V comprehensive evaluation indexes were 0.1572, 0.1609, 0.1449, 0.1257 and 0.1026. The comprehensive evaluation results of the share plough machine and tools were ranked from high to low as IM, III, IVM, V, IM, and the optimal type of moldboard plough was IM; Rotary cultivator machines I, III, IV, V, and the optimal type of moldboard plough was II, Rotary cultivator machine. 

The comprehensive evaluation results of rotary tiller were ranked from high to low as III, IV, I, III, II, V, the optimal type of rotary tiller was III.


Table 3  Basic parameters and information of ridging machine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Machine model</th>
<th>Power/kw</th>
<th>Width/cm</th>
<th>Velocity/km·h⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moldboard plough</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>1LFK-355</td>
<td>73.5-120.0</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>1LFK-435</td>
<td>66.2-120.0</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIm</td>
<td>1LF-342</td>
<td>99.2-120.0</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVm</td>
<td>1LFT-445</td>
<td>110.5-120.0</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vm</td>
<td>1LFT-545</td>
<td>110.5-120.0</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary cultivator</td>
<td>Ix</td>
<td>1GKN-230K</td>
<td>66.2-120.0</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ix</td>
<td>1GKN-250K</td>
<td>73.5-120.0</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>1GQKGN-240</td>
<td>95.5-120.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVx</td>
<td>1GKN-230K</td>
<td>110.3-120.0</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vx</td>
<td>1GQKGN-220</td>
<td>73.5-120.0</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Experiment data of soil preparation part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool name</th>
<th>Test data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVM 1LFT-445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIR 1GQKGN-240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVR 1GQKGN-220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5  Normalization and weighted results of index data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moldboard plough</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>0.1612</td>
<td>0.1493</td>
<td>0.1625</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>0.2196</td>
<td>0.2196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>0.1765</td>
<td>0.1535</td>
<td>0.1556</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0346</td>
<td>0.2161</td>
<td>0.2161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIm</td>
<td>0.1750</td>
<td>0.1123</td>
<td>0.1398</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
<td>0.2292</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
<td>0.2292</td>
<td>0.2292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVm</td>
<td>0.1832</td>
<td>0.0941</td>
<td>0.1377</td>
<td>0.0528</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
<td>0.2294</td>
<td>0.2294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vm</td>
<td>0.1766</td>
<td>0.0568</td>
<td>0.1467</td>
<td>0.0615</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>0.2366</td>
<td>0.2366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qx1</td>
<td>0.2184</td>
<td>0.1658</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.6712</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qh1</td>
<td>0.4335</td>
<td>0.3671</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.1164</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qx3</td>
<td>0.0861</td>
<td>0.6569</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
<td>0.0704</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary cultivator</td>
<td>Ix</td>
<td>0.2250</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.3967</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.8888</td>
<td>0.6650</td>
<td>0.7040</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ix</td>
<td>0.2700</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>0.2250</td>
<td>0.3967</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.8888</td>
<td>0.6650</td>
<td>0.7040</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>0.2925</td>
<td>0.4513</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.7040</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Moldboard plough, A1-1 is the annual fixed fee; A1-2 is the unit oil consumption; B1-1 is the coefficient of variation of tillage depth; B1-2 is the 8 cm vegetation coverage under the surface; B1-3 is the rate of soil pulverization; C1-1 is the machine reliability; C1-2 is the operation safety. Rotary cultivator, A1-1 is the annual fixed fee; A1-2 is the unit oil consumption; B1-1 is the tilling depth; B1-2 is the stability coefficient of tillage depth; B1-3 is the vegetation coverage; B1-4 is the rate of soil pulverization; C1-1 is the machine reliability; C1-2 is the operation safety, the same as below.

7 Conclusions

1) Based on the existing national and industry standards for agricultural machinery, the selection evaluation model was constructed for wheat and maize double cropping field operation machinery, and the selection evaluation model was established with an improved fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method. The method of combining subjective weight with objective weight was used to obtain the weight value of each index in the evaluation system, which overcomes the shortcomings of the previous single weighting method that cannot consider the subjective information of each index at the same time, and makes the judgment more realistic.

2) The evaluation index values were obtained through field measurements of the plows and rotary cultivators used in the field preparation link, and the selection evaluation model constructed by this research was verified. The test showed that the results obtained through the evaluation model were consistent with the actual local conditions. Similarly, this method has good practical significance and provides an effective method for agricultural machinery selection for agricultural machinery users.
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