Design and experiment of anti-vibrating and anti-wrapping rotary components for subsoiler cum rotary tiller
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Abstract: The commonly used subsoiling cum rotary tiller machine (SRT) in Northern China is a combination of subsoiler and horizontal rotary tiller, however backfilling of the subsoiling slot, excessive vibration and plant residue wrapping on rotary components has been rarely considered. Therefore, the rotary components and assembly were redesigned to address these issues and to an SRT fitted with IT225 short curve rotary blades behind the V-shape subsoiling slots and IIT245 long curve rotary blades between the tines. Long and short blades were fitted on a rotor in a double helix, with optimal spiral angles of 65° and 90°, and phase angle of 147° and 180°, respectively. Compared with the commonly used SRT (CSRT), the additional anti-wrapping cutting blades in the circumferential and axial direction of ASRT could remove hanging residue on the blade holders, wrapping on the rotor and formation of an isolation layer. Moreover, the cutting edge curve of anti-wrapping cutting blades was an exponential curve. Field tests demonstrated that the redesigned SRT with anti-vibrating and anti-wrapping rotary components (ASRT) had a significant advancement over the CSRT. Moreover, the working depth of rotary tillage was more stable, while other observations confirmed that backfilling of the subsoiling slot was also improved.
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1 Introduction

The combination of subsoiling and rotary tillage creates a flat fine tilth on the soil surface and shatters deep plow pans at the same time. The combination of the two operations has a number of stated advantages: reduction in tractor hours; reduced soil compaction[1]; shorter operation cycle time; reduced power consumption by 20% to 25% compared to subsoiler or rotary cultivator alone[2,3]. Crop production advantages include: improved efficiency in plant dry matter conversion and water use; enhanced root growth; and improved crop yield[4–7].

Subsoiler cum rotary tiller (SRT) is a simple combination of subsoil shanks and a horizontal rotary tiller[8,9], which is commonly used in the North China Plain (NCP). SRT mixes and buries a large amount of plant residue, and breaks/shatters the deeper compaction layers, from the winter wheat-summer maize double cropping system of. Planting areas are quite large, and the farming cycle is short due to the harsh cold winters[10]. The SRT of subsoiler shanks were evenly mounted in front of rotary tiller. Slots were formed in the soil surface with some shattering after subsoiling, however the rotor blades are unevenly stressed when working across the disturbed surface. Compared with separate rotary tillage operations, the vibration of the rotary parts of the SRT are much larger, which reduces the longevity of component parts such as: bearings, oil seals, gears and blades. In addition, rotary blades wear unevenly, seriously reducing the efficiency and reliability of the SRT[11]. In fields, where plant residue is retained, there is an increased incidence of straw and weeds wrapping around the rotor, which reduces tillage depth and the quality of operations[12]. However, it takes considerable time for the SRT to service the land and the rotary parts are easily clogged and wrapped by prolific weeds in the NCP. Therefore, to increase efficiency and operational performance, the SRT requires reduced vibration and a capacity to avoid weed and straw wrapping.

At present, the parameters of rotary tiller were studied. Li et al.[13] studied rotary blade by designing with smooth particle hydrodynamics modelling and Taguchi method, which reduced power consumption compared to conventional rotary blade by 12.4%; Matin et al.[14–16] studied the effect of three blade geometries (conventional, half-width and straight) at four rotary speeds (125, 250, 375, and 500 r/min) on torque, power and energy characteristics. The results showed that the soil fraction was not significant under the straight blade, and the amount of soil disturbance and torque was significantly better than that of the other two kinds of rotary knife; Saimbhi et al.[17] Studied the
power consumption difference among rotary blades of C style, L style and C-L style, and results showed that C style had less power consumption. There are some studies on combination arrangement of rotary blades. Yang et al. [18] studied three types of blade (bent C, straight and hoe) in four tool configurations at four rotary speeds (180, 280, 380 and 510 r/min) in paddy soil. Results showed that the mixed blade configuration (central hoe blades with two straight blades aside) was optimal; Lee et al. [19] reported that rotor shaft with four rotary blades had the lowest torque variation and torque requirement, and the soil breaking ratio was 24.4%. Studies on vibration reduction and anti-winding in rotary tillers were carried out. Chaturvedi et al. [20] studied the influence of synthetic rubber, polyurethane and combination of rubber and polyurethane on the vibrations of rotary power tiller. It was reported that the maximum vibration reductions were achieved with the rubber in all three operational conditions; Xu et al. [21] found that the rotary tiller winded up the straw and the weed were mainly related to the length of the straw and the weed, the radius of the rotary blade shaft, and the friction coefficient of the cutter shaft. And increase the radius of the knife shaft could reduce the rotary tiller winding straw and weeds. These studies were mainly focused on shapes and parameters of rotary blades, relationships between power consumption and tilling parameters, vibration reduction, and anti-wrapping in single rotary tillers. However, there is little research on anti-vibration and anti-wrapping on SRT rotor-tiller components.

In the view of these issues and according to the required soil effects after subsoiling, the rotary blades, their arrangement, and the addition of anti-wrapping blades were redesigned to reduce vibration and wrapping. To be specific this study aimed to: (1) to design rotary blades and their arrangement, and find out vibration effects on blade shaft, (2) to analyze wrapping process and combine the straw distribution situation to design anti-wrapping blade and decrease wrapping, (3) to verify the operation performance of ASRT. Therefore this study hopes to provide suggestions to improve SRT performance in China.

2 Design principles and structures

2.1  Vibration reduction and anti-wrapping of rotary components

Key components of the SRT with anti-vibrating and anti-wrapping rotary components (ASRT) are shown in Figure 1. Under normal operations, the SRT shank and points operate in the non-tilled area ahead of the roto-tiller, which forms a V-shaped area of loosened soil (Figure 1b), with the roto-tiller rotates in the direction of travel i.e. counter clockwise (Figure 1a). The soil in the V-shape zone is crushed, cut, shredded and thrown by short blades, helically mounted on the rotor shaft. Simultaneously, uplifted soil and subsoiling slots are flattened and filled by the short blades to retain soil moisture. Long blades mounted on an alternate helix are used to break, mixed and smooth the soil between the subsoiling shanks. Consequently, the forces on rotary components mounted on a single helix vary depending on their position in relation to the subsoiler disturbance zone. Blades of different lengths, arranged on two helical lines on the rotor, can reduce forces induced within the same spiral, reduce the impact and vibration along the blade axis and improve blade life, gears, bearings and other parts. Weeds and straw disturbed by the subsoil shank, often become wound on the blades and rotor. The rotor is fitted with anti-winding blades and a counterweight baffle for each set of blades. Plant material caught on the blade seat and/or wrapped on the rotor, slide and then are cut by the anti-wrapping blades. This reduces winding and occlusion by weeds and straw on the rotor and blades and ensures the reliability of machine operations.

2.2  Machine structure and main structural parameters

As well as vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components, the ASRT includes a breast board, body frame, gearbox, hanger and blade rotor (Figure 2). The 4 subsoiling shanks with chisel points
are mounted 625 mm apart on the front bar of the machine. The subsoiling shanks and points were designed according to the soil properties of tillage layer, plow pan and subsoil layer commonly found on the NCP. The shanks are designed to reduce resistance and power requirement and can reduce plant material from wrapping on shanks[22]. The SRT operating width is 2500 mm and has a power requirement of ≥66.2 kW. The dimensions of machine are 1435 mm in length, 2568 mm in width and 1230 mm in height. Rototiller operating depth is ≤200 mm, with a tillage width of 1200 mm at 250-350 r/min. The operating speed is 1.8-3.96 km/h.

3  Key redesign parameters and verification of ASRT

Due to changed soil conditions following subsoiling, vibration reduction and anti-wrapping capabilities of the rotary tiller were re-designed. The focus was on rotary tiller blades to reduce the vibration and considered the design and arrangement of the blades. Based on the outcome, the structure and location of the anti-wrapping blades and counterweight baffles were modified.

3.1  Vibration reduction design of rotary tiller blade rotor

3.1.1  Rotary tiller blade design

As described previously, V-shape areas were formed where the soil condition was between phases, and raised a certain height \(H_1\) by the action of subsoiler. In order not to affect the soil surface flatness after the operation, the volume of the soil thrown by the roto-tiller blades in subsoiling area and the non-tilled area should be the same. In addition, blades should impart specific soil flow characteristics to achieve adequate backfill of the subsoiling slots. Accordingly, rotary tillage depth in the subsoiling areas and non-tilled areas with the ASRT should be consistent. That is, long blades should throw the same soil volume as that of short blades according to the working depth of long blades \(H_2\) and short blades \(S_1\) (Figure 3a). The short blades operate at depth \(H_6\), which is equivalent to the long blade operating at depth \(H_5\). The difference in rotational radius of long blades and short blades can be obtained by determining the actual height \(H_0\) of the soil, which was lifted by the subsoiling action and thus the compressed height of the upper soil moved by the subsoiler points was \(H_1\), which can be determined from the geometric relationships in Figure 3b:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1 &= H_2 - h \\
H_2 &= L \sin \alpha \\
h &= S_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\alpha) - \sin^2 \alpha \tan \varphi \right) \\
S_1 &= L \cos \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

where, \(H_1\) is the actual height of soil lifted by the subsoiler point; \(H_2\) is the theoretical height of soil lifted; \(h\) is the actual height of soil compressed by subsoiler point; \(\alpha\) is the penetration angle of the subsoiler point; \(S_1\) is the length of subsoiler point in the horizontal plane; \(L\) is the length of the subsoiler point and \(\varphi\) is the friction angle between subsoiler point and soil.

According to the national standards JB/T79788-1999 “Subsoiler and share shaft”[24], the penetration angle of the subsoiler point \(\alpha\) was 23°, the length \(L\) was 165 mm, the width \(B\) was 60 mm[23]. The average friction angle between subsoiler point and soil \(\varphi\) was measured as 23.12° by a MXD-01 Friction Coefficient Measuring Instrument. Substituting these values into Equation (1), the height of soil lifted by the subsoiler point \(H_1\) was calculated as 19.17 mm, and therefore we assigned a value of 20 mm to \(H_1\).

Referring to GB/T5669-2008 “Rotary Tiller-Rotary Blades and Blade Holders”, the long rotary tillage blades (Type IT245)[27] designed for heavy residue and hard soils, its rotating radius \(R_1\) is 245 mm and its operation width \(b_1\) is 50 mm. The short tillage blade (Type IT225)[27] designed for loose and soft soil, has a rotating radius \(R_2\) is 225 mm. In order to increase soil throw, the width of short rotary tillage blade was 60 mm. Both blade types are forged from 65 Mn steel and the long rotary blade soil contact section was quenched after forging.
rotary tillage blades $b'$ is greater than the working width of the rotary tillage blades $b$ ($b=50$ mm), namely $b'=b+\Delta b$, where $\Delta b$ often takes the value of 15 to 20 mm, in this design the value of $\Delta b$ is 20 mm. The number of the long rotary blades $Z'$ installed and considering the overlap between the long and short rotary blades, the number of the long rotary blades was 44. The number of long blades ($Z_1$) on each left and right rotors was 22, while the number of short blades ($Z_2$) on each rotor was 8 (Figure 5).

Considering the difference in soil condition (Figure 4) and blade length, the two sets of blades were arranged in a double helix to reduce vibrations and variable force induction. The long blades, working in the uncultivated area, were arranged in a spiral, with an optimal helix angle $\theta_1$ derived from $4\times(360^\circ/Z_1)$ was 65.45°, rounded to 65°. The short blade optimal helix angle $\theta_2$ was derived from is $2\times(360^\circ/Z_2)$ and was 90°. In order to prevent clogging and wrapping during operations, the phase angle of the adjacent two blade holders, on the same cutter head, should be greater than 110°. Considering the interference of the long rotary tillage blade with the short rotary tillage blades, the long rotary tillage blade phase angle and the short rotary tillage blade phase angle was set to 147° and 180° respectively. Figure 5 shows the arrangement of these blades on the rotor.

### 3.2 Anti-wrapping design of rotary tiller rotor on SRT

#### 3.2.1 Analysis of factors affecting the wrapping of rotary shaft

The process of straw and weeds wrapping around the blade seat and shaft was analyze. It was also assumed that straw and weeds wrapped evenly around on the shaft with the same thickness and tensile strength; the adhesion to the soil particles was not considered; and the centrifugal force generated by the rotation of the shaft was ignored. The shaft moved horizontally at a speed of $v_{in}$ and rotated clockwise with rotational speed $n$ and Figure 6 showed the force analysis diagram when the shaft was wrapped. A coordinate system with the central axis of the tool axis as the origin, the horizontal direction as the x-axis, and the vertical direction as the y-axis was established. Then, a micro-arc $dl$ was intercepted from the wrapped straw or weeds, and the corresponding angle was $\Delta \theta_0$. So the friction between the shaft and the straw and weed $f_dF_{\gamma}$ could be obtained as shown in Equation (2).

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{dF_{\gamma}}{F_0} &= F_0 \sin \frac{\Delta \theta_0}{2} + (F_0 + dF_{\gamma}) \sin \frac{\Delta \theta_0}{2} \\
\frac{dF_{\eta}}{F_0} &= (F_0 + dF_{\eta}) \cos \frac{\Delta \theta_0}{2} - F_0 \cos \frac{\Delta \theta_0}{2}
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
$$

where, $f$ is the coefficient of friction between shaft the straw and weeds; $F_0$ and $F_0+dF_0$ are the tension at both ends of the micro-arc, $dF_\gamma$ is the pressure of the shaft to the micro-arc.

Figure 6 Force analysis diagram when the shaft was wrapped

According to the infinitesimal method, $\sin(\Delta \theta_0/2)=\Delta \theta_0/2$; $\cos(\Delta \theta_0/2)=1$. Straw and weeds are small deformed materials, ignoring the second order trace $d^2F_\gamma(\Delta \theta_0/2)$, then Equation (3) could be obtained from Equation (2)

$$
\frac{\Delta F_\gamma}{F_0} = \Delta \theta_0 \rightarrow \int_0^{\Delta \theta_0} \frac{dF_\gamma}{F_0} = \int_0^{\Delta \theta_0} \frac{d\theta_0}{\alpha_0} \rightarrow F_\gamma = F_0\frac{\Delta \theta_0}{\alpha_0}
$$

where, $F_1$ is the critical strain force of straw and weeds at $O_1$ (N); $F_2$ is the critical strain force of straw and weeds at $O_2$ (N). Then the maximum wrapping force $F_\gamma=F_1=F_2$. When the straw and weeds are hang on, there is a sudden change of the structure formed by the blade holder and the shaft, and the tension force at both ends is $F_\gamma$, then $F_1=F_2=2F_\gamma$. Combined with Equation (3), the maximum wrapping force $F_\gamma$ is obtained by Equation (4)

$$
F_\gamma = 2F_\gamma \left(1 - \frac{2}{e^{R_0} + 1}\right)
$$

where, $e$ is the base of natural logarithm, and approximately equal to 2.718; $\alpha_0$ is the wrapping angle, and the value is $L/R$, where $L$ is the length of the wrapped straw or weeds, and $R_0$ is the shaft diameter.

Therefore, maximum wrapping force $F_\gamma$ related to the tensioning force $F$, friction coefficient $f$ between the shaft and straw and weeds, length of the straw and weeds $L$, $R_0$ is the shaft diameter. $F_\gamma$ is proportional to $F$; When $F$, $L$ and $f$ remain fixed, $F_\gamma$ decreases with the increase of $R_0$. When $F$, $f$, $R_0$ remain fixed, $F_\gamma$ decreases with the decrease of $L$; When $F$, $R_0$ and $L$ remain fixed, $F_\gamma$ decreases with the decrease of $f$. The length of straw and weeds and the friction coefficient between shaft and straw is hard to change, therefore, in order to reduce wrapping, structure can be improved as follows: (1) improving the sudden change between the structure of the blade holder and shaft, so as to reduce the straw and weeds hanging and reduce the tension force $F_\gamma$; (2) increasing rotary radius of the shaft which can effectively reduce the shaft
3.2.2 Design of anti-wrapping cutter blades

Two anti-wrapping cutter blades were installed on the blade seat, which was designed to cut hanging weeds in the circumferential direction and in the axial direction to cut wrapped straw and weeds. An exponential cutting edge curve was chosen for effective cutting in both directions, as shown in Figure 7 and designed from the following: Take any point B in the cutting edge curve and set the pole radius OB of B point as ρ, polar angle as θ, while ρ=r(θ). When pole radius ρ and the polar angle θ increased Δρ, Δθ, the polar coordinates of C point were obtained (ρ+Δρ, θ+Δθ), and the static slip angle of C point was φ, which was the angle between the direction of C point velocity and velocity tangent θ of point C was:

\[ Δθ = \frac{DB}{CD} = \lim_{ω \rightarrow 0} \frac{ρ \sin Δθ}{ρ + Δρ - ρ \cos Δθ} \]

Simplified the limit of Equation (5), and integrated

\[ \int \frac{1}{ρ} dθ = \int \cot(φ_0 + Kθ) dθ \rightarrow cp = \left[ \sin(φ_0 + Kθ) \right]^{−1} \]

where, c was constant.

When the polar angle θ was 0, c was calculated as [(sinφ0)−1]φ0 from Equation (6), where ρ0 was the pole radius starting from start point of the edge curve. Established a rectangular coordinate system with polar axis as the rectangular axis, π/2 polar angle as radial the positive axis of the y-axis and the pole is the right-angled coordinate system of the coordinate origin (Figure 7a). The polar coordinate system was connected with the rectangular coordinate Equation (6) and combined with the constant c, the parameter equation of the edge curve in the Cartesian coordinate system was obtained (Equation (7)).

\[ \begin{align*}
  y &= \rho_0 \left[ \frac{\sin φ_0}{\sin (φ_0 - Kθ)} \right]^{−1} \sin θ \\
  x &= \rho_0 \left[ \frac{\sin φ_0}{\sin (φ_0 - Kθ)} \right]^{−1} \cos θ
\end{align*} \]

In Equation (7), the value of x, y which are coordinates in the edge curve equation were related to ρ0 (the pole radius starting from start point of the edge curve), φ0 (the static slip angle of the starting point of the cutting edge curve), polar angle θ and K (static slip angle reduction ratio). In order to effectively make the transition between anti-wrapping blade and blade shaft, the start point of the edge curve A was selected to be on the outside surface of blade shaft, and also on the polar axis x, and in other words, that ρ0 was the radius of the blade shaft and value of ρ0 was 40 mm. According to other research, φ0 should be 52° and K should be 0.1 to ensure the optimum cutting performance and reduced power consumption. The end point of the cutting edge curve (E) needs to be a tangent with the side cutting edge of rotary blade to make sure that there was no structural breaks between the parts, thus the point E was located in the blade seat length and width intersection. According to the national standard GB/T5669-2008, the segment OF is 95 mm, and segment FE is 25.5 mm and angle θ0=arctan((EF/OF)=15°, so the range of the angle θ can be: 0≤θ≤θ0=90°−θ0=75°. The above parameter values were substituted into Equation (7) and the cutting edge curve was plotted, as shown in Figure 7b. In order to simplify subsequent processing, the circumferential direction anti-wrapping blade and axial direction anti-wrapping blade had the same edge curve design. To prevent blade from excessive deformation and attain effective cutting, 65 Mn steel was selected as blade material, the thickness of the blade was set at 5 mm, and edge angle was 30°.

3.2.3 Positioning of anti-wrapping cutter blade

Two anti-wrapping cutting blades were installed in the V-shape subsoiling zone, while one anti-wrapping cutting blade and one counterweight baffle were installed in the non-subsoiled zones. The mass and positioning of the counterweight baffle was equal to the anti-wrapping cutting blade to reduce vibration (Figure 8).
4 Field experiment

4.1 Experimental condition

A field experiment was conducted in June 2017 at a research site in Zhuozhou city, Hebei province (115º56'E, 39º28'N). An ASRT was compared with a CSRT by assessing field tillage indicators after the operation of each machine.

Typical plot conditions were as follows; average soil bulk density, 1.47 g/cm³; average soil moisture content, 14.27%; and average soil compactness, 3175.8 kPa. Tillage depth was from 0 to 450 mm. Plant residue from the previous maize crop 2.32 kg/m² and a considerable number of weeds were chopped and uniformly spread on the field.

Test equipment: Lovol M1104 tractor, ASRT, CSRT (working width 2500 mm; 4 subsoilers with broken line blade and the distance between the blades is 625 mm; 68 rotary blades (34 on the left and 34 on the right) are arranged in a double-headed spiral, type of rotary blades are IIT245 machete; the same plane inside and outside the staggered rotary blade phase angle is 150º, the same direction of the adjacent rotary blade on the same spiral line is 42º), field comprehensive test vehicle (A rotary torque sensor is installed to measure the torque and rotation speed of the rotary parts of SRTs), AIC3600 vibration analyzer (produced by Beijing institute of space intelligence monitoring technology), Revealer 5F01 high-speed camera (produced by Fuhuang Agile Device co., LTD), 2000 W strong light, compactness measuring instrument, soil box, ring knife, electric thermostat drying oven, tape measure, steel ruler, electronic scales, etc.

4.2 Methodology

The operating speed, rotary tillage speed, subsoiling depth and rotary tillage depth were 0.8 m/s, 350 r/min, 350 mm and 150 mm, respectively. The test indexes included vibration reducing, torque and anti-wrapping performance in the process of machine operation, as well as indexes such as tillage depth stability, vegetation cover rate, soil turgor and surface roughness after operation of the two machines. LSD method in SPSS 21 software was used to test the significant difference between the two machines in operation indexes. Specific methods and steps of measurement and calculation were as follows.

4.2.1 Testing for relevant indicators during operation process

1) Vibration acceleration

The flat field with 300 m in length and 30 m in width was selected as test plot, and 20 m in width of it was selected as the measuring area. The single-channel magnetic vibration sensor AIC3600 type vibration analyzer was attached above both sides of the frame of rotary tillage components (Figure 9), and the data were collected when the average operating speed and rotary tillage speed were 0.8 m/s and 350 r/min. The vibration acceleration stability value of the machine was recorded every 30 m with 3 times repetition.

2) Torque

The flat field with 300 m in length and 30 m in width was selected as test plot, the first 50 m and the last 50 m were set as commissioning area, and the middle 200 m was test area. Field comprehensive test vehicle was installed between the tractor and the SRT (Figure 10). The torque was collected every 0.1 s when the average operating speed and rotary tillage speed were 0.8 m/s and 350 r/min, and the test duration was 40 s.

3) Anti-wrapping performance

To figure out whether the wrapping of the rotary shaft affected the rotary blade penetrating soil, working operation for 60 m with 3 repetition was conducted. Revealer 5F01 high-speed camera was used to record the operation process of rotary tillage components at 750 fps, as shown in Figure 11. I-SPEED Suite software was used to reproduce the process of high-speed photographic recording and static images of specific locations were obtained to analyze the influence of anti-wrapping performance.

\[ V = \frac{S}{a} \times 100\% \]

where, \( a \) is the average depth of subsoiling or rotary (cm); \( a_i \) is the depth of subsoiling or rotary at the \( i \)th point (cm); \( n \) was the number of measured points; \( S \) is the depth standard deviation of subsoiling or rotary (cm); \( V \) is the depth variation coefficient of...
subsoiling or rotary; \( U \) is the depth stability coefficient of subsoiling or rotary.

2) Vegetation cover rate

The five-point sampling method was adopted in the working operation stability area to measure the weight of 1 m² (1 m×1 m) vegetation (straw, weed and root stubble) on the surface before the operation of the two SRTs, and the average value was \( W_q \). The same method was used to measure the weight of vegetation after the operation of the two SRTs, and the average value was \( W_h \). Equation (9) was used to calculate the vegetation cover rate \( Y \) of the two SRTs.

\[
Y = \frac{W_q - W_h}{W_q} \times 100\%
\]  

(9)

3) Soil bulking intensity

The operation stability zones of the two kinds of SRT were selected to draw the uncultivated surface lines, cultivated surface lines and subsoiling bottom lines at the same location, respectively. In addition, the above drawing lines in the same planes were all perpendicular to the SRTs’ forward direction. Defining the cross-sectional area before and after operation of the SRTs was \( A_q \) and \( A_h \) respectively (Figure 12). Soil bulking intensity \( P \) can be obtained by Equation (10).

\[
P = \frac{A_h - A_q}{A_q} \times 100\%
\]  

(10)

4) Surface flatness

A horizontal baseline was set crossing the highest surface lines before and after operation of the SRTs (Figure 12). Equal interval points were marked in 50 mm interval of the whole machine’s operation width (2500 mm). Before and after operation of the two SRTs, vertical distances between these equal interval points and baseline at same position were measured. The mean and standard deviation can be calculated by Equation (11), in which standard deviation represented as surface flatness. Furthermore, experiments repeated 5 times.

\[
S_i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (a_{ij} - a_i)^2}{n_k - 1}}
\]

(11)

where, \( k \) is the measurement times, \( k=5; a_i \) is the mean vertical distance at \( k^{th} \) measurement; \( a_{ij} \) is the vertical distance at \( k^{th} \) measurement of the \( j \)th equal interval point; \( n_k \) is the measured points’ number at \( k^{th} \) measurement; \( S_i \) is the surface flatness at \( k^{th} \) measurement.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Test indexes

The results of vibration acceleration, torque and anti-wrapping effect of the two SRTs are tabulated in Table 1. The average vibration acceleration of the ASRT (2.13 m/s²) was significantly reduced by 59.05%, compared with the CRST (52.09 mm/s²). The average torque (784.78 N·m) reduction was not significant in the ASRT when compared with CSRT (839.30 N·m). Observed wrapping of plant residue was not evident in all 3 journeys with ARST, while the CSRT experienced wrapping in all 3 journeys. High speed camera was applied to observe the rotary parts’ wrapping process (Figure 13). Results showed that the roller equipped with anti-wrapping blades can effectively make weeds and straw slide off blade seats, and reduce wrapping of the roller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing index</th>
<th>ARST</th>
<th>CRST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vibration acceleration/m s²</td>
<td>2.13±8.1a</td>
<td>5.2±16.9b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque/N·m</td>
<td>785±68a</td>
<td>839±99a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti- wrapping effect</td>
<td>Un-wrapped</td>
<td>Wrapped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for journey1</td>
<td>for journey1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and 3</td>
<td>and 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values followed by different lower case letters indicated that the difference between ASRT and CRST were significant (\( P<0.05 \)); same below.

Figure 12  Measurement of Soil Bulking intensity and Surface Flatness

Figure 13  Wrapping process of rotary parts
4.4.3 Reasons for effective anti-wrapping

The field test results indicated that, rotary tillage depth and stability, subsoil depth, subsoil depth and stability, straw coverage rate, soil bulking intensity, soil surface flatness were acceptable according to the industrial standards laid out in JB/T10295-2014\(^\text{[30]}\) for SRT (Table 2). Compared with CSRT, the stability of rotary tillage depth of the ASRT was significantly improved by 5.01%. While for other indices, there were no significances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance index</th>
<th>ARST</th>
<th>CRST</th>
<th>National standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotary tillage depth/cm</td>
<td>15.1±1.14a</td>
<td>13.3±1.86a</td>
<td>≥8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary tillage depth stability/%</td>
<td>91.2±1.61a</td>
<td>86.6±2.37b</td>
<td>≥85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil depth/cm</td>
<td>34.8±1.51a</td>
<td>33.7±2.23a</td>
<td>≥25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsoil depth stability/%</td>
<td>94.1±2.03a</td>
<td>92.2±3.18a</td>
<td>≥80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw coverage/%</td>
<td>83.3±2.94a</td>
<td>84.5±2.72a</td>
<td>≥60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil tilth/%</td>
<td>16.3±0.78a</td>
<td>14.8±0.90a</td>
<td>10~40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil surface flatness/cm</td>
<td>1.8±0.36a</td>
<td>2.0±0.47a</td>
<td>≤4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Discussion

4.4.1 Reasons for vibration reduction

According to the test, the average vibration acceleration of the ASRT was significantly reduced by 59.05%, compared with the CRST. The main reasons were: two arrangement types were applied on long/short rotary blades of the vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components. The long rotary blades entered into the uncultivated soil area in turn and subjected to large force, which were designed for heavy residue and hard soils. The short rotary blades entered into the V-shape subsoiling areas in turn and subjected to relative small force, which mainly were used for burying the furrows formed by subsoiler. Rotary blades of the CSRT were mounted on a single helix and rotated into soil in succession. Rotary blades’ force will be changed suddenly when they rotated from uncultivated area to subsoiling area, which would make the vibration of machine. The vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components reduced the impact and vibration on blade shaft from rotary blades, which was beneficial to prolong the service life of rotary blades, bearings and gearboxes. Moreover, the results showed that the wear of the rotary blades were uniformly, which was helpful to improve working efficiency and reduce the frequency of changing rotary blades.

4.4.2 Reasons for torque reduction

The average torque reduction was not significant in the ASRT when compared with CRST. The main reasons were as follows: (1) Vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components were designed according to the soil surface after subsoiling and with 60 rotary blades in total, while for CRST there were 68 rotary blades. When compared with ASRT, vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components decreased the number of powered rotary blades, thus reduced the average torque. (2) V-shape subsoiling area was formed by subsoilers of two SRTs and soil was soft, which resulted in little different forces of two SRTs’ rotary blades. In addition, the soil compactness of surface was unevenly, which lead to the ninsignificant torque difference of rotary components.

4.4.3 Reasons for effective anti-wrapping

The CSRT experienced wrapping in all 3 journeys, while straw wrapping was not observed for the ASRT. By experiment, we found that rotary parts of the CSRT in uncultivated area were wrapped seriously. Reasons may be that: soil, weeds and straw in V-shape subsoiling area were all upraised and moved to both sides of subsoiler, which led to blade seats and blade shafts wrapped with weeds and straw easily. Wrapping processes of rotary parts were divided as follows: (1) Blade seats hanging weeds. Weeds and straw were easily hanged at the joint area of blade seats and blade shaft, and the amount of hanging weeds and straw increased with the rotation of blade shaft. (2) Blade shaft winding straw, weeds. Corresponding with the increase amount of weeds hanged on blade seats, long straw and weeds in front of rotary parts were attached at blade seats and finally wrapped on the blade shaft. (3) Formation of an isolation layer. With the progress of the operation, more and more straw and weeds were wrapped around the blade shaft, gradually forming straw and weed isolation layer. Anti-wrapping blades were assembled in axial and circumferential directions of the vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components, which reduced blade seats hanging weeds and blade shaft winding straw and weeds. Thus, the rotary parts obtained the function of anti-wrapping.

4.4.4 Reasons for stability of rotary tillage depth enhancement

The average rotary tillage depth of vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components was 15.07 cm, which met the working requirement. While for the CSRT, the average rotary tillage depth was 13.27 cm, which couldn’t meet the working requirement. The reason was that isolation layer formed by wrapping straw and weeds on the blade shaft upraised the whole blade roller, which led rotary blades unable to achieve the expected tillage depth. In addition, instability of the rotary tillage depth caused by wrapping weeds and vibration in the rotary parts of CSRT reduced the stability of rotary tillage depth. Above all, vibration reduction and anti-wrapping components not only guarantee subsoil depth and stability, straw coverage rate, soil bulking intensity and soil surface flatness, but also enhanced stability of rotary tillage depth, decreased vibration, torque and weeds/straw wrapping.

5 Conclusions

Redesign of SRT rotary components reduced vibration and prevented residue wrapping, thus improved its suitability for fields with plant residue retention in Northern China. Based on discrete element simulation and field experiments the adaption of long and short rotary blades on different helixes according to soil disruption after subsoiling, was effective in reducing vibration and improving soil surface outcomes, IT225 type rotary blades with two anti-wrapping blades and a working width of 60 mm were recommended for the subsoiled zone, and IT2245 type rotary blades with one anti-wrapping blade and a working width of 50 mm were recommended for the zones between the subsoiling tines. Comparative field tests showed that the ASRT reduced vibration acceleration by 59.05% and increased rotary tillage depth stability by 5.01%. Anti-wrapping blades mounted on the rotary roller in axial and radial directions effectively reduced residue and weed wrapping of rotary parts. The recommended anti-wrapping blade, should be made from 65Mn steel, 5 mm thick, have an exponential curve and blade angle of 30°. Overall these modifications improved SRT working efficiency and operation time, thereby reducing wear and increases the longevity of the machine.
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