Auto-steering based precise coordination method for in-field multi-operation of farm machinery
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Abstract: Multi-operation within a field and multi-machinery within a machinery operation are common in the scene of scaled farm machinery service, especially with soaring usage of automated steering system in small and medium machinery cooperatives. The object of this study was to explore a precise and efficient in-field coordination method to realize flow-shop scheduling for farm machinery fleet equipped with RTK-GNSS based auto-steering system. The new method is based on three-dimensional coordinate system (XYZ), within which the concept of field, operation strip, and operation task were defined. Under this concept framework, the operation strip state was further defined and its updating algorithm was designed. To evaluate the method, the waiting time between simulation and a real-world case was compared, and one cloud based prototype system was developed to demonstrate the practicability in the field by using NX200+ automated steering system. The simulations showed that the in-field coordination can shorten the waiting time between two adjacent operations. The waiting time between rotary hoeing and seeding can be shortened from 4 h to 6.3 min. The field experiment showed that the prototype system could keep good consistency of ridges for a fleet by sharing the guidance line.
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1 Introduction

RTK-GNSS based automated steering system can provide automatic guidance for single machinery unit with one-inch repeatability[1]. The auto-steering technology can improve operation quality and reduce dependence on skilled operator by automatically keeping the machine along the set path (guidance line). Automated steering systems are widely used in northeast and northwest China nowadays. To realize flow-shop scheduling precisely[10,11] for machinery fleet with multiple operations and multiple machinery, it is necessary to share guidance lines within the machinery in real time. Since different farm machinery operations probably have different implement width or swath, the spacing of guidance lines may differ from each other. Therefore, both sharing mechanism of guidance lines and algorithm and management system for real time in-field coordination are needed to assist automated steering systems.

Seamless collaboration across spatial, temporal, operational, and machinery is an orientation especially toward fleet management of scaled farm and farm machinery service organization[12-15]. Sørensen and Bochtis[16] proposed that the total supply chain of large scale harvesting had four levels coordination, i.e., in-field[17] logistics, inter-field[18] logistics, inter-sector logistics, and inter-regional logistics, and the individual efficiency of each machine unit depending on the performance of the system. Most of the researches focus on the inter-field coordination algorithm to improve machinery scheduling effectiveness[19-22]. Master-slave robot system and leader-follower system are the classical in-field coordination cases[22-27]. For the in-field level of farm operation, it can be subdivided into three lower levels, i.e., multi-operation, multi-machinery, and multi-factor. Multi-machinery coordination means that multiple machinery of the same operation cooperate together. For instance, five tractor-seeder units worked in one field simultaneously[28]. Multi-machinery concept replaces multi-operation concept in many references. For instance, to be more exact, tractor with a hayfork (as the master, leader, or primary) and dump truck (as the slave, follower, or customer) belong to two kinds of farm machinery operations, therefore, the coordination model of master-slave and leader-follower should be considered as multi-operation coordination. In further, multi-operation can also be subdivided into master-slave or parallel operation and sequential[29] or serial[17] operation.

Flow-shop scheduling is a special case of job shop scheduling and the methods were usually adopted to resolve the inter-field coordination problems of farm machinery[11]. While for multi-operation coordination with one-inch repeatability, some basic works, such as multi-operation strip definition and updating, and information exchange mechanism, should be done firstly, since field work has more workplace (operation strips) than that of factory manufacturing, and the transition between adjacent farm machinery operations is much more complex than that of the latter. In fact, some researches mentioned path, track, and path-tracking algorithm for single operation[17, 27, 30-34]. Clear definition of multi-operation
strip and in-field coordination algorithm and mechanism for multi-operation and multi-machinery should be further studied.

Therefore, considering the application requirements of in-field collaborative operation in the high accuracy operation era, the paper proposed the concept of multi-operation strip, algorithm for operation strip state updating and waiting time calculation, mechanism for guidance lines (e.g., A-B line) sharing through the cloud and terminals of automated steering system, in order to improve the operation quality of multi-machinery operation and increase the transition efficiency of multi-operation of farm machinery.

2 Concept of sequential multi-operation

2.1 Case introduction

Figure 1 shows the flow-shop scenery of a machinery cooperative in Beijing, which owns more than 50 sets of tractors, harvesters, and implements. The left two machinery units in the figure were doing rotary hoeing, while the right two machinery units were doing plowing in the same field.

![Figure 1: Case of sequential multi-operation system](image)

The overall arrangement of the agricultural machinery cooperative is shown in Table 1. Six agricultural machinery operations were arranged during the autumn tillage in 2014. The intersections between fertilizer spraying and disking harrow, plowing and rotary hoeing, and rotary hoeing and seeding contains coordinative actions. In further, except for fertilizer spraying, all other operation tasks have two or more sets of machinery unit. Imagine without the support of operation coordination, overlaps or skips were inevitable, which may cause both material and farmland waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Operation task</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Sep 26</th>
<th>Sep 27</th>
<th>Sep 28</th>
<th>Sep 29</th>
<th>Sep 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Fertilizer spraying</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Disking harrow</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Plowing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Rotary hoeing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Seeding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Soil compacting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Multi-operation case of autumn tillage

Table 2 lists the machinery units, operators, and durations of seeding operation. The table shows multi-machinery (five tractor-seeder units) with seeding task worked together in most of the seeding duration. However, the operators of seeding waited for some 4 h to enter the field on Sept 28, 2014, in which the rotary hoeing started at six in the morning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation task</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Start time</th>
<th>End time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seeding I</td>
<td>Wang X D</td>
<td>10:07</td>
<td>16:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding II</td>
<td>Wang K X</td>
<td>10:04</td>
<td>16:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding III</td>
<td>Peng J K</td>
<td>10:14</td>
<td>16:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding IV</td>
<td>Gao N</td>
<td>10:02</td>
<td>15:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding V</td>
<td>Jiang L G</td>
<td>10:14</td>
<td>15:58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Multi-machinery operation of seeding task

2.2 Architecture of multi-operation

Abstracting from the above and related cases, the sketch map of sequential multi-operation and multi-machinery system can be expressed as Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Cloud-terminal based architecture for in-field multi-operation and multi-machinery](image)

2.2.1 System structure

The whole system mainly consists of the cloud, terminal, and wireless network. As Figure 2, a prototype system was developed for the cloud and the tablet terminal. Four NX200+ automated steering systems (Figure 4a), upgraded from NX200 of stand-alone version, were equipped on the John Deere 1204 tractors and used for the field experiments. Each terminal has a SIM card to use 4G wireless network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Main functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>Field digital map manages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operation tasks manage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operation strips divide and state update &amp; exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal (tablet)</td>
<td>Operation tasks download &amp; manage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operation strips state update &amp; upload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal (NX200+)</td>
<td>Guidance lines upload &amp; download</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positions report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NX200 functions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHC LT600 tablet (LT600T) and ZTE BLADE V0820 (V8) were used for simulating. The two kinds of terminal connects to BeiDou Ground-based Augmentation System to get the FindM service, which improved the accuracy of the terminal to 1 m.

2.2.2 System workflow

Using the software, operation tasks are assigned to the field. With the basic A-B line, operation strips and guidance lines can be produced according to the width of operation tasks. When tractor enters the field, the operator can download the guidance line through the terminal. Meanwhile, the terminal would report its position to the server in real time. With the positions of the tractors, the software could update the strips’ state, and push them to the tablet or terminal. Therefore, the operators could select and enter the strip which is ready for operating.
2.3 Definition of multi-operation strip

Figure 2 just lists two adjacent operations for the field. The figure illustrates high accuracy of pass-to-pass by using automated steering system and the flow-shop working mode. In this case, field should be divided into strips according to machinery swath. The interrelation between two or more operations is quite important and is meaningful to shorten the transition time between adjacent operations.

Three-dimensional coordinate system, \( XYZ \), is designed to describe field, operation strip, and operation tasks. Lower-left field corner is pointed as the coordinate origin \( O \). The \( X \)-axis is perpendicular to the original A-B line and points to the right side of the field, and the \( Y \)-axis is perpendicular to the \( X \)-axis and rotates 90 degrees counterclockwise. The \( Z \)-axis is determined as a matter of fixed convention by the right-hand-thread rule. Both units of the \( X \)-axis and the \( Y \)-axis are distance (m), while the \( Z \)-axis represents operation tasks considering the operation sequence.

![Figure 5 Strip division for single-operation and multi-operation](image)
2.3.1 Single-operation strip

An operation strip is a virtual lane-shaped area of the field divided for strip-following during farm machinery operation with centimeter level accuracy. The operating width of strip depends on the implement width or swath of the machinery. Figure 5a is the overhead view of operation strips expressed in XOY. For the n-th operation task (N is total), the field can be divided into M(n) strips, its operation strip can be expressed as following:

\[\text{strip}^n_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M(n)\]

A-B line here, used for strip-following steering, is defined as the original guidance line cross through coordinate origin O. For each operation strip, its guidance line is defined as A-Bn i which is generated by offsetting the following spacing from the original guidance line:

\[\text{offset } A-B_n^i = (i - 0.5) \times \text{width}^n, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M(n)\]

2.3.2 Multi-operation strip

As mentioned above, operation strip is a virtual and dynamic concept, since different operation task probably has different strip division. Figure 5b shows two-operation strip division. In the case, operation task I (operation1) is prior to operation task II (operation2), and both operation tasks share the same original A-B line. Obviously, in production practice, operation1 should be finished prior to operation2, therefore, the latter’s planning dependents on the former’s progress.

2.3.3 Identification of operation strip

As Figure 6 shows, interval is defined to describe the operation strip in XOZ, in which the operation strips are abstracted to the line segments. The segment length is equal to the implement width or swath of machinery. Interval is described by two points corresponding to the endings of line segment. For instance, for the i-th operation strip of the n-th operation task, the interval can be expressed as stripn i(i-1)=widthn, i=widthn).

2.4 Algorithm of strip state updating

As Figure 7 shows, suppose three operations are being undertook. Some of the strips of the first operation should be finished and be ready for the second operation, but it is not necessary to complete all the strips. The third operation is also dependent on the progress of the second operation, and so on. The interactions are meaningful to improve the transition efficiency.

2.4.1 Strip state and its collection

Strip state means the completion situation of operation strip during farm operation. The first operation does not have unready state, while all other operations have four kinds of state, i.e., unready, ready, doing and done, as Table 4 and Figure 7. Figure 8 shows the deterministic finite state automata. When the server gets the updating information of the operation strip, the algorithm will successively update the strip state of current operation according to the prior operation strip state.

StripReady and StripDone are defined as the collections to store the ready and done operation strips, respectively. Take the first operation as example, supposed StripDone1 is (0, 10) now, when Strip1(10, 15) finished, the union (U) of the two sets would be (0, 15), which is updated as following formulation:

\[\text{StripDone}^1 \cup \text{strip}^1(10, 15)\]

Table 4 Definition of strip state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unready</td>
<td>The specific strip is not ready for operating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ready</td>
<td>The specific strip is ready for operating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>doing</td>
<td>The specific strip is being occupied and operated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>done</td>
<td>The specific strip has been finished.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.2 Strip state updating

For single-operation tasks, the judgement of operation access is simple. While for multi-operation tasks, it is much more complex, since the prior operation should prepare the workspace for the subsequent operation. For instance, the strip3(24, 32) in Figure 7 depends on the state of the combination of strip1, strip2, and strip3, i.e., StripDone1(20, 35).

The state updating algorithm of multi-operation can be illustrated as following two steps:

(1) For the first operation, machinery can enter any of the

Figure 8 Deterministic finite state automata
strips. When machinery enters related strip, the strip’s state would change from ready to doing. When the machinery leaves the strip, its state would become done finally, and StripDone collection would be updated.

(2) For the second operation, once any of the strip becomes done of StripDone\(^1\), the StripReady collection and StripDone collection of the subsequent operation would be updated in time also. Therefore, once any of the strips of the prior operation updated, the subsequent operation strip should be updated immediately by going through the previous operation strip collection.

In practice, the strip state could be pushed to the terminal of automated steering system to guide the operators to enter the ready operation strip.

2.5 Algorithm of waiting time calculating

The waiting time \((T_{\text{wait}})\) between two sequential operations affect the working efficiency. It is difficult for the farmer and the manager of the cooperative to determine the entry time accurately without enough working information of two adjacent operations. It is better for the operators of follow-up operation to enter the field as early as possible.

To simplify the question, suppose the operation path of the same operation would be traversed from one side to another side of the field. To calculate the waiting time, the finished area of the prior task is treated as a convex polygon, the problem of time overlap can be transformed to the problem of space overlap. The prior convex polygon and the follow-up convex polygon are defined as \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) respectively (Figure 9). The technical route of waiting time calculation is shown in Figure 10. Only when \(S_2\) is inscribed in \(S_1\) at any time during whole duration of the prior operation, the result of waiting time is appropriate.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9  Spatial relationships between two adjacent operations

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10  Algorithm of waiting time calculating

3 Simulation

Two test schemes were designed to verify the algorithms. The first scheme was conducted in Matlab simulation program. The second scheme was conducted with the prototype system on the university campus.

The simulation program was developed in Matlab technical programming language (The MathWorks, Inc., Natwick, Mass). Five operation tasks including fertilizer spraying, disking harrow, plowing, rotary hoeing, and seeding in Table 1, were selected for multi-operation and multi-machinery simulation. Suppose the machinery units would adopt alternative tillage working route and be realized as Figure 11. Strips were allocated equally to each machinery, and the working route of \(i\)-th machinery in \(n\)-th operation was stored in the Track_Collect\(^n\).

![Figure 11](image)

Figure 11  Flow chart of farm machinery route

The simulation was entirely based on the case introduced in Figure 1. The parameters of selected operation tasks and farm machinery were acquired and calculated as following:

- \(\text{field length} \times \text{width} = (414, 300 \text{ m})\)
- \(\text{swath operation} = (18, 2.5, 1.5, 2.5, 1.8 \text{ m})\)
- \(\text{number vehicle} = (1, 2, 3, 6, 5 \text{ pcs})\)
- \(\text{velocity vehicle} = (10, 6, 6, 6, 6 \text{ km/h})\)
- \(\text{U-turn time vehicle} = (30, 30, 30, 30, 30 \text{ s})\)

One LT600T (Figure 4a) and one V8 (Figure 4b) were used for simulating the disking harrow operation. One LT600T, one V8, and one ordinary mobile phone were used for simulating the plowing operation. The LT600T and V8 connected to BeiDou Ground-based Augmentation System\(^3\) to get the FindM service, which improved the accuracy of the terminal to 1 m. The second scheme was conducted with the prototype system on the playground of China Agricultural University in Beijing. The object was to validate the exchange capacity of the cloud and the guidance capacity of the terminal. The authors were assumed as the operators of machinery and were only guided by the prototype system.

4 Experiments

Field experiments were arranged in June of 2018 in a cooperative of Beijing. We set up two contrastive groups to make experiments in the field.
Group I shared guidance line by the cloud, while group II collected coordinates of guidance line manually. For group I, the coordinates of point A and point B were set in the field and uploaded to the server by tractor A with NX200+. Then, tractor B with NX200+ downloaded point A and point B. Each tractor went through the field by using auto-steering system. The operators would make U-turn manually at the headland. Here we set both of the implement swath to 5 m. Tractors would skip one strip when making U-turn.

For the comparative group, tractor A set point A and point B firstly, then tractor B was driven to the same place to set the coordinates of guidance line. The travelling mode was similar with the above one.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Operation strip dividing

The field for simulation is the one of the case introduced in Figure 1, which located in the suburb of Beijing. Figure 12 shows the result of operation strip division in XOZ, XYZ, and XOY.

Figure 12a shows the operation strips of five selected operations divided by the simulation program (Matlab). Figure 12b is the sketch map of the field in XYZ drew by Visio 2013. Figure 12c is the operation strip of fertilizer spraying task in XOY and A-B line for each operation strip generated by Matlab program. From the figures, we can infer that the interval definition can well express the operation strip and the interrelation between adjacent operation tasks.

In production practice, Figure 12c would be showed in the terminals of automated steering system, and the strip states could be identified by specified colors. Relative coordinate system is used in the research, which may limit the extension.

5.2 Strip state updating

Figure 13 shows the instantaneous state of two types of operation strip during simulation in Matlab program. In the simulation program, the simulating velocity of vehicles is $128 \times$ speed, and the pictures were refreshed every 0.1 s.

In the program, plowing operation simulation started 20 min later than disking harrow operation simulation. In the first 20 min, just as Figure 13a at seventeenth minutes, once any operation strip of disking harrow finished, all the operation strips of plowing
would be updated. Figure 13b shows the ready (white background) operation strip of plowing can be selected for tillage immediately.

5.3 Waiting time calculating

Table 5 is the result of waiting time calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmit between operations</th>
<th>In practice</th>
<th>In simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer spraying → Disking harrow</td>
<td>21 min</td>
<td>3.8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disking harrow → Plowing</td>
<td>8 h *</td>
<td>3.8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plowing → Rotary hoeing</td>
<td>3.6 h</td>
<td>3.5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary hoeing → Seeding</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>6.3 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Disking harrow was finished at 14:55 on sept 26, 2014. Plowing was arranged at 10:00 on the next day.

From the above table, plowing and seeding were arranged 8 h and 4 h after the ending of the prior operation in practice. By using the multi-operation algorithm, the minimum of waiting time are 3.8 min and 6.3 min respectively, which means flow-shop planning could be more compact. Rotary hoeing was arranged appropriately through the experience of the staff.

Obviously, the algorithm could improve the overall efficiency of farm machinery management by shortening the waiting time between two adjacent operations.

5.4 Coordination between two operations

Figures 3c and 3d show the monitoring interface of the terminal during simulation on the playground. Disking harrow simulation started 3 min earlier than plowing simulation. The simulation showed that the prototype system could guide two operations orderly. Figure 14 shows the trajectory collected by NX200+ in the field, which verified that the system could guide two machinery orderly. The swaths of the selected operation in the prototype system had been enlarged four times compared to the original swath, in order to reduce the affection of tablet and smartphones with low accuracy (sub meter level). Besides the positioning accuracy, the prototype system just realizes the basic functions of multi-operation coordinative operation, and is far from the requirements of real practice. For the further development, the prototype system can be integrated into the software of GNSS based automated guidance system.

5.5 Accuracy of guidance lines

Figure 14 shows the trajectory and linear fitting result of guidance lines of group I. We studied the slopes of the fitting lines. The mean, standard deviation (SD), and coefficient of variation (CV) of six fitting lines in group I is 4.91 rad, 0.01 rad, and 0.26%, respectively. While the mean, SD, and CV of group II is 4.78 rad, 0.04 rad, and 0.74%, respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that group I has higher accuracy than group II, which means that the multi-operation coordinative system could keep good consistency of ridges for a fleet by sharing the guidance line.

In fact, there should be no errors in theory when we shared the guidance line through the cloud, since all the terminals use the same coordinates of point A and point B. The CV of slope in group I reflects the errors of machinery controlling by using auto-steering system.

Over the farmland, there are two groups of high voltage wires. From the left to the right, the positioning accuracy of the first six guidance lines is RTK level, while others were not so good because of interrupting of differential signal. Therefore, we selected the first six sets of data for statistics.

6 Conclusions

This research proposed the cloud-terminal based sketch map of coordination operation for multi-operation and multi-machinery to realize flow-shop working. The definition of field coordinate system, operation strip, and interval for strip identification were first given. Within this framework, algorithms of strip state updating for multi-operation and waiting time calculating between two adjacent operations were proposed. Simulation in the computer and experiments in the campus and field show that in-field coordination method could shorten the waiting time. The waiting time between rotary hoeing and seeding can be shortened from 4 h to 6.3 min. In addition, by sharing the guidance line, the CV of six fitting lines is 0.26%, and is smaller than that (0.74%) of manual setting by operators. Therefore, the algorithm of this paper has some potential to help the fleet to
accurately compute the waiting time and to effectively compact the farm machinery operation. The prototype system could also keep good consistency of ridges for a fleet by sharing the guidance line.
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